## 93 BE 2779

## DIST. BENJAMIN A BERMAN, H.S BRANCH D.F CARNIVAL, GJ. DAVIS, J.G. FERRERA, D.W. HANNI, B.J. HARMAN, L. K HEALY, T.J HILBIG. J.G IDEKER, E.H. KEPSH JM KIRBY, WA KUESTER, A.W. LEE, E.M. MANN HP MARX, G.E McDONALD, M.M. MCKENNA F MONTROSE, JA MORGAN, R.V. POTTER, G.L. PIZZUTO, V.M AILEY, J.H. SANOLIN, N.B STEWART, D.L SULLIVAN, M.T SWANSON F R WILKINSON, R.B. WILSON, J. M. ZANE. J. O enedett clarose CORRES CONTROL X X Admin Rec.

CLASSIFICATION:

UNCLASSIFIED
CONFIDENTIAL
SECRET

AUTHORIZED CLASSIFIER SIGNATURE OCUMENT CLASSIFICATIO EVIEW WAIVER PER

LOASETICATION OFFICE

IN REPLY TO RFP CC NO:

ACTION ITEM STATUS

OPEN OCIOSED

PARTIAL

LTR APPROVALS:
MBA:
CRIG & TYPIST INITIALS

EAD JALE

FEARING OF 1920

## SEGEG ROCKY FLATS

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC.
ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464, GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 • (303) 966-7000

March 8, 1993

93-RF-2779

Richard J. Schassburger Acting Director Environmental Restoration Division DOE, RFO

Attn: S. R. Grace

CROSSWALK BETWEEN OU 2 ALLUVIAL WORK PLAN ACTIVITIES AND ACTUAL FIELD ACTIVITIES PERFORMED - MBA-021-93

The following Work Plan crosswalk for Operable Unit (OU) 2 is a result of the February 8, 1993 meeting held with the management of the Department of Energy/Rocky Flats Office (DOE/RFO), EG&G Rocky Flats, Inc. (EG&G), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Colorado Department of Health (CDH) regarding the Phase III RCRA Facilities Investigation/Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) Report for OU 1. Due to site inaccessibility, geologic conditions encountered while drilling, and decisions made in the field, the actual work performed during the Phase II Alluvial field program for OU 2 deviated from the work outlined in the approved Work Plan.

Attachment 1 summarizes the work planned versus the actual work performed. This summary of the OU 2 field work is presented in the same quantitative manner that the OU 1 Work Plan deviations were presented by EPA and CDH to DOE/RFO and EG&G on February 8, 1993. Rationale for the deviations is provided in Attachment 2.

EG&G recommends scheduling a meeting with the regulatory agencies to discuss the crosswalk deviations and the rationale for those deviations. Any areas of concern that EPA and CDH have that cannot be resolved with existing field data, might be addressed during the Bedrock field program, the Feasibility Study (FS), or a Phase III RFI/RI.

If you have any concerns regarding this matter, please contact A. L. Primrose of my staff at extension 8618.

M. B. Arndt

Director

Remediation Project Management

EAD:dmf

Orig. and 1 cc - R. J. Schassburger

Attachments: As Stated (2)

U NV

REVIEWED FOR CLASSIFICATION/UCNI
BY G. T. Ostoiek 820

ADMIN RECORD